

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/727,149	12/02/2003	David K. Swanson	015916-302	5299	
21836	7590 06/30/2006	EXAMINER .			
HENRICKS SUITE 200	SLAVIN AND HOLI	VRETTAKOS, PETER J			
840 APOLLO	STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
EL SEGUNDO	O, CA 90245	3739			
		DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ū	.S.	P	a	ten	t	an	d	Tra	de	ma	rk	Offic
_		_		_	_	_		_		_	_	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: _

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

All prior rejections obviated.

It is also requested that the Applicant explain (and more helpfully point out in the figures) the ambiguous claim language, "a <u>connector</u>...<u>configured</u> to secure...the electrophysiology device...adjacent to the suction region."

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4 and 7-12-15, 18-28, 30-31 and 34-37, drawn to an ancillary suction and stimulation apparatus, classified in class 606, subclass 41.
- II. Claims 38-42 and 46, drawn to a method stimulating tissue and monitoring stimulation "on the other side", classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case a plethora of electrosurgical systems can be used to monitor lesions on the other side of a lesion from the point of ablation including those systems without suction, systems with sensors and ablation electrodes on disparate

Application/Control Number: 10/727,149

Art Unit: 3739

probes/catheters, and systems with sensors and ablation electrodes on common probes/catheters.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Craig Slavin on 6-24-06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Vrettakos whose telephone number is 571-272-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pete Vrettakos June 24, 2006

ROY D. GIBSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Loy D. Gibson